# Topical Reversion at the *HIS1* Locus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* • A Tale of Three Mutants

# R. C. von Borstel,\* Elizabeth A. Savage,\* Qi Wang,\* Ursula G. G. Hennig,\* R. Gary Ritzel,\* Grace S.-F. Lee,\* Michael D. Hamilton,\* Micah A. Chrenek,\* Robert W. Tomaszewski,\* John A. Higgins,\* Christopher J. Tenove,\* Lucia Liviero,<sup>†</sup> Philip J. Hastings,<sup>‡</sup> Christopher T. Korch,<sup>§</sup> and C. M. Steinberg\*\*

\*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada, † Istituto di Genetica, Università degli Studi di Parma, I-43100 Parma, Italy, † Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030, \*P.O. Box 88097, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80908-8097 and \*\*Basel Institute for Immunology, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland

#### ABSTRACT

Mutants of the *HIS1* locus of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are suitable reporters for spontaneous reversion events because most reversions are topical, that is, within the locus itself. Thirteen mutations of *his1-1* now have been identified with respect to base sequence. Revertants of three mutants and their spontaneous reversion rates are presented: (1) a chain termination mutation (*his1-208*, nee *his1-1*) that does not revert by mutations of tRNA loci and reverts only by intracodonic suppression; (2) a missense mutation (*his1-798*, née *his1-7*) that can revert by intragenic suppression by base substitutions of any sort, including a back mutation as well as one three-base deletion; and (3) a -1 frameshift mutation (*his1-434*, née *his1-19*) that only reverts topically by +1 back mutation, +1 intragenic suppression, or a -2 deletion. Often the +1 insertion is accompanied by base substitution events at one or both ends of a run of A's. Missense suppressors of *his1-798* are either feeders or nonfeeders, and at four different locations within the locus, a single base substitution encoding an amino acid alteration will suffice to turn the nonfeeder phenotype into a feeder phenotype. Late-appearing revertants of *his1-798* were found to be slowly growing leaky mutants rather than a manifestation of adaptive mutagenesis. Spontaneous revertants of *his1-208* and *his1-208* and *his1-434* produced no late-arising colonies.

In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae it is often difficult to study topical reversions, that is, mutations that arise in the same genetic locus as the mutant. The difficulty arises because the topical frameshifts, nonsense, and missense mutations often are swamped out by a plethora of extragenic suppressors, so that an analysis of each revertant becomes an overwhelming exercise. With one interesting exception,<sup>1</sup> *his1* revertants have been reported as arising only at the locus itself (Korch and Snow 1973). No *his1* mutants were found that responded to chain termination suppressors. This puzzling finding was investigated exhaustively with the genetic techniques available in 1973. Our interest in topical reversion led us to study spontaneous reversions of *his1* mutations in the Korch and Snow collection.

*Corresponding author:* R. C. von Borstel, Department of Biological Sciences, CW405 Biological Sciences Bldg., University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada.

E-mail: rc.von-borstel@ualberta.ca

<sup>1</sup>Snow (1980) reported one type of extragenic suppressor of *his1* mutants that depends on interaction of two nonhomologous proteins. This finding presaged the useful two-hybrid system of Fields and Song (1989; Song *et al.* 1991) for demonstrating protein interactions between nonhomologous proteins. Now that the Fields-Song two-hybrid method is available, the protein interaction found by Snow (1980) should be investigated further.

This paper is dedicated to Jan Drake on the Occasion of *his1st-65th* Birthday. It is also a reminder for him to bite the bullet gracefully.

We have analyzed, in depth, reversions of a nonsense, a missense, and a frameshift mutation at *HIS1*. We have confirmed the observation of Korch and Snow (1973) that these reversions of *his1* mutants are indeed topical. The revertants are detected among cells grown in glucose in multiple cultures, where revertants appear from the background only when the limiting metabolite (in these cases, histidine) is exhausted. We have used the P<sub>0</sub> of the Poisson distribution for calculation of the spontaneous mutation rate (*cf.* von Borstel 1978; von Borstel *et al.* 1971), which avoids most types of selection because mutational events rather than mutant frequencies are measured.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains and mutants:** According to the customary nomenclature for mutations of *S. cerevisiae*, the first mutant allele of *HIS1* discovered was called *his1-1*, and as mutants were discovered, the enumeration grew until Korch and Snow (1973) mapped *his1-315*. Unfortunately, two of the more used numbers, namely, *his1-1S* and *his1-7S*, were duplicated for the original mutant alleles that Korch and Snow (1973) designated *his1-1F* for the *his1-1* isolated by C. Raut (unpublished results) and *his1-7F* for the *his1-7* of R. K. Mortimer (unpublished results) used by Fogel and Hurst (1967). See Korch and Snow (1973) for a full historical account of the naming of the mutant alleles of *HIS1*. Here we mention the "F" (for Fogel) in Table 1, and it is removed from this designation

### TABLE 1

Korch and Snow (1973) name of mutant alleles	Renamed by base position and mutation <sup>a</sup>	Source <sup>b</sup>	Change
his1-49	his1-159-196(del 37)	spont.	deletion
his1-1F = his1-39	his 1-208 (A $\rightarrow$ T)	ŪV	$lys \rightarrow stop(TAA)$
his1-54	his 1-221 $(G \rightarrow A)$	NA	gly $\rightarrow$ asp
his1-1S	his1-364 ( $A \rightarrow T$ )	UV	$ile \rightarrow phe$
his1-62	his1-374 ( $G \rightarrow A$ )		$ser \rightarrow asn$
his1-19	his1-434 (delAA $\rightarrow$ G)	EMS	frameshift
his1-42 <sup>ts</sup>	his 1-499 $(G \rightarrow A)$	EMS	ala $\rightarrow$ thr
his1-30	his 1-652 $(G \rightarrow A)$	EMS	$gly \rightarrow met$
his1-51	his1-653 ( $G \rightarrow A$ )	NA	$gly \rightarrow asp$
his1-48, his1-65	his1-683 $(G \rightarrow A)$	EMS, NA	$cys \rightarrow tyr$
his1-7F = his1-3	his1-798 ( $G \rightarrow A$ )	UV	$met \rightarrow ile$
his1-11	his1-818 ( $G \rightarrow A$ )		$gly \rightarrow asp$
his1-315	his1-887 ( $G \rightarrow A$ )	UV	$arg \rightarrow his$

Sequence alterations in A	<i>his1</i> mutants a	and the source o	of the mutations
---------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------

<sup>a</sup> The mutations are renamed for their base sequence using a slight variation of the system being devised for the human genome (Glickman 1997).

<sup>b</sup> spont., spontaneous; UV, ultraviolet radiation; NA, nitrous acid; EMS, ethyl methanesulfonate.

henceforth. The names *his1-1S* and *his1-7S* are retained for the two mutants found by Korch and Snow (1973).

In Table 1 we rename the mutations in a locus to take into account their position in the base sequence as well as the type of mutation that took place. With slight departures, such as keeping the three-letter name for the phenotype itself, this is, in accordance with rules being established for the human genome (Glickman 1997). The human genome nomenclature is being changed now to take in at a glance the most information possible about each mutant base pair. We have altered the rule by enclosing the nature of the mutation in parentheses to permit it to be a removable segment, whereas the proposal for the human genome is to affix the change into the name itself.

For the reversion experiments, the mutations were in different genetic backgrounds (Table 2). The strains containing *his1-1* and *his1-19* originally came from the collection made by Korch and Snow (1973) and were constructed into genetic backgrounds with other mutant loci from our own collection by Savage (1979; see also Manivasakim 1993).

**Media:** The complex medium of general use is YEPD (1% yeast extract, 2% Difco peptone, and 2% dextrose). The components of synthetic complete media (*cf.* von Borstel *et al.* 1971) are filter-sterilized, except for the glucose and agar, which are autoclaved separately. The components of media are mixed together while the solutions are still hot. We have found that autoclaving the Yeast Nitrogen Base and the amino acids and bases together alters mutation rates.

Measurement of spontaneous mutation rates: The growthlimiting concentration of histidine is 0.2 mg/L for *his1-798*  and *his1-208* and 0.4 mg/L for *his1-434*. An average of 2500 cells is inoculated into each compartment of multiple-well assays, and cells go through at least eight or nine doublings in the medium before growth ceases. All experiments are carried out at  $26^{\circ}$ , and the routine spontaneous mutation rate is determined at 14 days. The incubation temperature must be monitored carefully, because there is a doubling in the spontaneous reversion rate, for most strains, with each  $5^{\circ}$  increase in temperature from 10 to  $30^{\circ}$  (R. C. von Borstel and C. M. Steinberg, unpublished data).

The  $P_0$  component of the Poisson distribution is used to calculate the spontaneous mutation rate. Thereby, the spontaneous reversion rate (M) is

$$M = e^{(N_0/N)} - m_b/2C,$$

where *N* is the total number of compartments,  $N_{\theta}$  is the number of compartments not containing revertants,  $m_{b}$  is the average number of mutants in the inoculum, and *C* is the average number of cells per compartment after growth has stopped (von Borstel *et al.* 1971; von Borstel 1978).

If a large number of compartments is used, experiments are highly repeatable, as shown in Table 3 for reversion of the missense mutant, *his1-798*.

The *his1-798* reversion data are the result of seven replicate tests carried out over an 8-month period. The mean of the seven tests is  $6.3443 \times 10^{-8}$  reversions/cell/generation, the standard deviation is 0.2968, and the coefficient of variation is 0.0468. The preferred measurement of error is the coefficient of variation, which gives an accurate statement of the

TABLE 2
---------

#### Genotypes of strains

Strain	Genotype	New names for <i>HIS1</i> mutations
XV185-14C	MATa ade2-1 arg4-17 his1-7 hom3-10 lys1-1 trp5-48	(his1-798)
HP109-1C	MATa ade2-1 hom3-10 his1-1	(his1-208)
HP17-3D	MATa ade2-1 his1-19	(his1-434)

#### TABLE 3

Background Cells/ Number of mutants/ Mutation compartment Number of compartments compartment rate with mutants  $(\times 10^{-6})$ compartments  $(\times 10^{8})$  $(\times 10^8)$ Experiment number С N  $N - N_0$  $m_{\rm b}$  $M^a$ 880921 191 498 105 0.032 6.16 881016 228 497 126 0.030 6.34 890401-1 299 482 146 0.031 6.01 890401-2 245500 137 0.031 6.51 890401-3 280 500 148 0.020 6.24 890505 280 479 141 0 6.23 890514 231 488 134 0.021 6.92

Demonstration of repeatability of the spontaneous reversion rate assay: Results of repeated compartmentalization tests for base substitutions in *his1-7 (his1-798)* of strain XV185-14C

<sup>*a*</sup> M = reversions/cell/generation.

precision of the  $P_0$  method. Calculation of the standard error or standard deviation requires the multiplication of the variability of the numerator and the denominator, and this leads to a perceived exaggeration of the error limits.

For very low reversion rates, as observed with *his1-434*, we grow the mutants to  $\sim 1-5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml in YEPD medium and then place 1 ml each on 100–160 individual plates of histidine-dropout medium. After cessation of growth, the histidine-independent revertants arise from the background. Because there is still some histidine present in the inoculum that is plated, complete depletion of histidine (and thus cessation of growth) occurs  $\sim 6-8$  cell generations later (*ca.*  $1-2 \times 10^9$  cells). Thereby, fewer than 2% of the mutants could have arisen in the original culture medium.

For examination of late-appearing mutants, particularly those arising after nearly 30 days, the mutants were examined for growth rate by growing them in a histidine-dropout medium, using synthetic complete medium for the control. The cells were counted with a hemocytometer every 2 hr for at least three doublings of the control in synthetic complete medium.

Extraction of genomic DNA from yeast for PCR: A single yeast colony was transferred into 100 ml of fresh lysing solution (1 m Sorbitol, 20 mm EDTA, 10  $\mu$ l/ml  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 2 mg/ml Zymolyase 20T) and incubated for 5 min at 37°. One hundred microliters of PCI (70% phenol, 29% chloroform, 1% isoamylalcohol) was added and incubated at 60° with vigorous shaking for 3 min. The aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh 1.5 ml microfuge tube for a standard powdered glass DNA extraction. The final DNA recovery from the powdered glass was in 20  $\mu$ l of TE (10 mm Tris-HCl, 1 mm ETDA, pH 8.0).

**Preparation of sequencing template by PCR and purification on agarose gels:** In earlier studies (Lee *et al.* 1988, 1992), shuttle vectors containing the mutant *HIS1* coding sequences were recovered from *S. cerevisiae*, amplified in *Escherichia coli*, and subsequently sequenced using the dideoxy chain-termination method developed by Sanger *et al.* (1977) (<sup>35</sup>S-αATP). Direct sequencing of yeast colony PCR products for the HIS1 locus was first done by Ritzel *et al.* (1989). Amplication of sequencing template by PCR was done according to the following protocol: the outside primers H1O (5'-GCTGCCAAGTGA GTCACCTCTACC-3') and H1X (5'-GCATGAAGACGGTAG TAAAGC-3') were used to amplify the *his1* allele template for sequencing. The PCR reaction mixture included 3 μl of 10× PCR buffer (500 mm KCl, 100 mm Tris-HCl, 50 mm MgCl<sub>2</sub>), 2  $\mu$ l of the DNA extract, 1 ng of each primer and 2  $\mu$ l of Taq polymerase solution, made up to 30  $\mu$ l with sterile Milli-Q water. Twenty microliters of paraffin oil was added to each sample prior to PCR to reduce evaporation. The primers were made by the Microbiology Services Unit in the Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta (Edmonton, Canada).

The above reaction was cycled at 1 cycle (95° for 5 min), 30 cycles (95° for 30 s, 60° for 30 s, 73° for 90 s), 1 cycle (73° for 5 min) followed by a hold at 6°. The PCR was done in a Stratagene Robocycler 96 with thin-wall 200-µl tubes (Rose Scientific, Edmonton, Canada). Twenty microliters of 30% glycerol:0.25% bromophenol blue loading dye was added to each sample. From each sample, 110 µl was loaded on a 1% agarose: 0.5× TBE (45 mm Tris-borate, 1 mm EDTA) minigel: $0.5 \mu g/ml$  of ethidium bromide in a minigel box (Tyler Research Instruments, Edmonton, Canada) and electrophoresed at 60 V. The DNA bands were visualized on a UV transilluminator and cut out of the gel with minimal UV exposure. The DNA was isolated from the gel slices following the Gene-Clean II protocol with TBE modifier. DNA was resuspended in 20–50  $\mu$ l of water depending on the size of the band. Confirmation of successful DNA extraction was made by electrophoresing a sample of the purified DNA on a 1% agarose:0.5× TBE gel.

Sequencing of the *his1* mutants using ThermoSequenase with Redivue <sup>33</sup>P terminators: The primers H1A (5'-ATGGATT TGGTGAACCATCTAACC-3'), HIB (5'-GTCGACGTAGACT TAGCAATCG-3'), H1C (5'-GTTAGTTCCATGATTGAGAG-3'), and H1E<sub>2</sub> (5'-GCTCTGGGAATTGGTGATGC-3') were used for sequencing the coding strand. The primers H1X (5'-GCAT GAAGACGGTAGTAAAGC-3') and H1R (5'-TCTGTTCTATC TTATACACGACAA-3') were used for sequencing the noncoding strand. Dideoxy sequencing (Sanger et al. 1977) was done following the ThermoSequenase protocol from Amersham Life Science (Oakville, CA), with annealing temperatures 5° below the T<sub>m</sub> for each primer and 50 cycles. Sequencing products were separated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on 6% 57 acrylamide:3 bis-acrylamide with 8 m urea and  $0.5 \times$  TBE. Urea was removed from the gels by soaking in 20% ethanol for 5 min followed by vacuum drying at 80° for 1 hr. Fuji RX autoradiography film (Fisher Scientific, Toronto, Canada) was exposed to the dried gel for 12-36 hr.

Sequence analyses were done manually by comparison with

TABLE 4

Revertants of the *his-208* (A  $\rightarrow$  T) (*his1-1*) termination codon 5'-TAA-3'

Number of spontaneous revertants	Mutant codon	Base change	Amino acid insertion
2	GAA	$T \rightarrow G$	glu
5	CAA	$T \rightarrow C$	gln
1	AAA	$T \rightarrow A$	lys
1	TCA	$A \rightarrow C$	ser
3	TTA	$A \rightarrow T$	leu
3	TAC	$A \rightarrow C$	tyr
1	TAT	$A \rightarrow T$	tyr

the wild-type *HIS1* sequence. Mutations were confirmed by analysis of the second DNA strand.

## RESULTS

**Revertants of** *his1-208* ( $A \rightarrow T$ ) (*née his1-1*): The mutant his1-208 is caused by a mutation that creates an ochre chain termination codon. The reversion rate for this allele is  $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$  reversions/cell/generation. The sequence changes in the revertants of his1-208 provide clear evidence that this ochre chain termination codon UAA does not revert by mutations of the anticodon of the tRNA (Table 4). Each histidine-independent revertant of his1-208 was a single base missense or back mutation within the three-base coding region. All seven possible single base mutations that replace the chaintermination codon with an amino acid codon will support growth. Any mutation to the amber UAG or opal UGA codons would not be expected to grow. None of the revertants were leaky; that is, late-arising revertants have not been observed among spontaneous mutants of his1-208. All base substitutions for the UAA codon function as well as any other.

There are a number of ways of explaining the lack of chain-termination suppression in *HIS1*, the most obvious of them being that tRNA suppression of chain termination is usually an inefficient process, and perhaps the codon has to be translated efficiently in order for the enzyme to be active. The efficiency has to be extremely low, because late-arising revertants of *his1-798* can be as slow as 10% of the growth rate of the normal cells, and perhaps less. It would be interesting to test other opal, amber, as well as other ochre mutations at different locations within the locus. However, none was available from the Korch and Snow (1973) collection.

**Revertants of** *his1-798 (G* $\rightarrow$ *A) (née his1-7)*: This mutation is a transversion lying near the 3'-end of the locus. It reverts most frequently by intragenic missense suppression. All reversions occurred on the upstream side of the primary mutation, excepting back mutation at the mutant base. The revertants are classified into three

groups: nonfeeders, feeders, and undefined (untested) (Table 5). Two revertants in Table 5 are of particular interest because they are rare: *HIS1-798* ( $\rightarrow$  *798*), which is a back mutation (a restoration to the wild-type genotype), and *HIS1-798* ( $\rightarrow \Delta 199-201$ ), a deletion of an entire codon.

For mutagen testing assays, *his1-798* could be useful because it would permit measurement of mutageninduced transition or transversion types at numerous places within the locus. As would be expected when missense suppression makes many mutation sites available throughout the gene, the spontaneous reversion rate is high, being in the range of  $10^{-7}$  mutations/cell/generation.

Feeders and nonfeeders are about equal in frequency. The feeders are an interesting example of excretion of a metabolite enabling nearby cells to grow into colonies. The metabolite that is excreted was found to be histidine, as determined by analysis of the supernatant of liquid cultures. HIS1 encodes the first enzyme of the histidine pathway, phosphoribosyl adenosine triphosphate:pyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase (E.C.2.4.2.c) (Fink 1964). Feeding of nearby cells suggests that an overproduction of histidine is controlled by the first step of the pathway. This indicates that the capability for feeding is because of a loss of feedback inhibition by histidine. The feeders are located in three main groups in the locus. It is interesting that one base change can elicit overexpression, whereas the same base mutated in a different way, or a reversion in the same codon, may result in a nonfeeder. These are at amino acids 69, 123, 156, and 266, corresponding, respectively, to base pairs at 205 and 206, 367, 472 and 473, and 796 and 798. There is no unique contiguous part of the gene that is mutated in the cross-feeding of revertants of his1-798 that might encode a feedback inhibition site. This differs from the suggestion put forth by Korch and Snow (1973) that the alleles permitting excretion of histidine were located in a particular portion of the locus. Later work (Lax and Fogel 1978; Lax et al. 1978; Fogel et al. 1978) showed that there was more than one location, but still these authors advanced the suggestion that regulation of excretion was under the tight control of structural elements within the gene. Enlightenment may be provided by a comparison of the genetic data with a structure derived by X-ray crystallography.

The spontaneous reversion rate for allele *his1-798*, which is discussed as a model system for spontaneous mutation rate measurement (see materials and methods), is  $6.3 \times 10^{-8}$  reversions/cell/generation. Revertants that are feeders could confound mutation rate measurements based on revertant counts, but this problem is avoided when mutational events are counted using the P<sub>0</sub> fraction of the Poisson distribution. Latearising revertants of *his1-798* are shown in Table 6.

Instead of reaching a plateau by the 14th day at 26°, a few spontaneous revertants continued to appear until

# TABLE 5

Revertants of his1-798 ( $G \rightarrow A$ ) (his1-7)

Mutant			Mutant		
base	Base	Amino acid	base	Base	Amino acid
number	change	alteration	number	change	alteration
	Nonfeed	ers		Feeders	
15	$AAC \rightarrow AAG$	$asn^5 \rightarrow lys$	191	$ACT \rightarrow AAT$	$hr^{64} \rightarrow asn$
23	$ACC \rightarrow ATC$	$thr^8 \rightarrow ile$	205	$GGT \rightarrow AGT$	$gly^{69} \rightarrow met$
115	$TCT \rightarrow GCT$	$ser^{39} \rightarrow ala$	205	$GGT \rightarrow TGT$	$gly^{69} \rightarrow cys$
206	$GGT \rightarrow GTT$	$gly^{69} \rightarrow val$	206	$GGT \rightarrow GCT$	$gly^{69} \rightarrow ala$
226	$ACT \rightarrow GCT$	$thr^{76} \rightarrow ala$	206	$GGT \rightarrow GAT(2)^a$	$gly^{69} \rightarrow asp$
227	$ACT \rightarrow AGT$	$thr^{76} \rightarrow ser$	251	$TCT \rightarrow TAT$	$ser^{84} \rightarrow tyr$
367	$\text{GTT} \rightarrow \text{ATT}(2)^a$	$val^{123} \rightarrow ile$	367	$GTT \rightarrow TTT$	$val^{123} \rightarrow phe$
455	$GTC \rightarrow GGC$	$val^{152} \rightarrow gly$	473	$GCA \rightarrow GTA$	$ala^{158} \rightarrow val$
472	$GCA \rightarrow ACA$	$ala^{158} \rightarrow thr$	476	$TCA \rightarrow TTA(2)^{a}$	$ser^{159} \rightarrow leu$
478	$TGT \rightarrow CGT(2)^a$	$cys^{160} \rightarrow arg$	492	$ATT \rightarrow ATG$	$ile^{164} \rightarrow met$
487	$GGA \rightarrow AGA$	$gly^{163} \rightarrow arg$	612	$AGC \rightarrow AGG$	$ser^{204} \rightarrow arg$
656	$GTC \rightarrow GCC$	$val^{219} \rightarrow ala$	758	$TCC \rightarrow TAC(2)^{a}$	$ser^{253} \rightarrow tyr$
664	$GCT \rightarrow TCT(2)^a$	$ala^{222} \rightarrow ser$	766	$GAC \rightarrow TAC$	$asp^{256} \rightarrow tyr$
731	$ACG \rightarrow ATG$	$thr^{244} \rightarrow met$	792	$AGT \rightarrow AGG$	$ser^{264} \rightarrow arg$
733	$CCT \rightarrow TCT$	$pro^{245} \rightarrow ser$	796	$ATG \rightarrow TTG$	$met^{266} \rightarrow leu$
798 <sup>b</sup>	$ATA \rightarrow ATG$	$\overline{ile^{266}} \rightarrow met$			
	Undefin	$\mathbf{ed}^{c}$			
18	$CAT \rightarrow CAA$	$his^6 \rightarrow gln$			
76	$GTT \rightarrow CTT$	$val^{26} \rightarrow leu$			
154	$\text{GTA} \rightarrow \text{TTA}$	$val^{52} \rightarrow leu$			
199-201	$\Delta GGT$	$\Delta$ gly <sup>67</sup>			
605	$CCA \rightarrow GCA$	$\text{pro}^{202} \rightarrow \text{arg}$			
664	$GCT \rightarrow ACT$	$ala^{222} \rightarrow thr$			
744	$AGA \rightarrow AGC$	$arg^{248} \rightarrow ser$			

<sup>a</sup> The number in parentheses indicates the number of mutants found to have the same mutation.

<sup>*b*</sup> Back mutation (restoration to wild-type genotype).

<sup>c</sup> The undefined mutants were taken from liquid cultures and were not tested for feeding or nonfeeding capability.

this experiment was terminated at 30 days. Most of the revertants tested that arose after the 14th day grew more slowly than those which arose previously. A few mutants, namely, revertants 3, 13, and 15, grew at the same rate in the presence or absence of histidine. Revertants 3 and 13 are petite mutants and grew slowly with respect to the controls. The only one of these three revertants that was not a petite mutant was revertant 15. Latearising mutants that grow rapidly have been observed with reversions of the *trp5-48* mutant (data not shown). These revertant colonies are invariably slow-growing mutants, where secondary mutations make the cells grow rapidly. When patches of slow-growing cells are streaked on the plate, papillations appear, constituting rapidly growing cells. The colony from revertant 15, shown in Table 6, was not isolated early enough to obtain a subset of slow-growing revertants. On the other hand, revertant 15, appearing on day 30, may be the first case of adaptive mutagenesis that we have observed. When the DNA sequence is obtained, this revertant will be examined for two or more alterations within the base sequence of HIS1.

The mutants described by Cairns and his colleagues (Cairns et al. 1988; Cairns and Foster 1991; Foster and Cairns 1992) as being caused by "directed mutagenesis" arose late and were ascribed to mutations taking place in stationary phase in E. coli. Ryan (1955) noted that DNA synthesis was taking place during "stationary phase," and this could account for some of the mutations, although he too believed that reversions were arising during stationary phase (Ryan 1959). This Ryan-Cairns deviation from the expectation that mutations take place during genomic DNA replication in E. coli has been observed in S. cerevisiae as well (von Borstel 1978; Hall 1992; Steele and Jinks-Robertson 1992). The current most popular explanation for the Ryan-Cairns deviation in E. coli is that recombinational events are taking place during stationary phase, which, for the most part, lead to frameshift mutations [Harris et al. (1994), Foster and Trimarchi (1995); but see Foster (1998); Rosenberg et al. (1998)].

All late-arising spontaneous reversions we have studied can be explained as slowly growing mutants due to leakiness of revertants or as fast-growing mutants that

Nature of late-arising revertants of *his1-798*. Dates of appearance and generation time

Revertant number	Date of appearance (from beginning of experiment)	Generation time (% of control)
1	Early (1–2)	100
2	Early (1–2)	100
3	19	100
4	19	60
5	19	15
6	19	25
7	20	25
8	22	80
9	22	50
10	22	65
11	22	65
12	22	40
13	25	100
14	25	60
15	30	100
16	30	30
17	30	75

Early mutants are the controls.

are due to a secondary spontaneous revertant arising within a pool of slowly growing cells (*cf.* Hall 1990). And the last colonies to arise comprise cells that grow more slowly than all previously arising colonies.

Revertants of his1-434 (AA→G) (nee his1-19): This mutation is a deletion of a base along with a transition at the 5' end of the run of adenines where the deletion occurred. The mutation has an ochre chain termination codon as the next codon in the sequence. The maximum allowable distance over which a reversion can take place is the addition of one base within six bases upstream. Upstream from that position any +1 addition or -2 deletion turns the codon prior to these six bases into an opal chain termination codon. The revertants that have been found to date are shown in Table 7. The two slowly growing mutants arose by day 5, so no latearising mutants accrued in this experiment. Ten of the revertants were additions of one base pair, and two were deletions of two base pairs. The remaining seven also had base substitutions; five of these were at one or both ends of the run of adenines, and two were outside of the run of adenines.

The reversion rate for *his1-434* is very low  $(9.4 \times 10^{-12}$  reversions/cell/generation). To maximize the opportunity for observing late-arising mutants that grow rapidly, a reversion experiment was carried out and observed for 30 days at 26°. The growth rates of each revertant were measured and compared in synthetic medium with and without histidine (Table 7). It is important to note that two revertants shown in Table 6 grew more slowly in medium without histidine, but like the other revertants, they arose within the time frame we call early (within

5 days at 26°), and thus must have occurred during log phase growth on the plate.

In a run of identical bases, the dynamics that produce a -1 reversion also can produce a +1 mutation (Streisinger et al. 1966; Fowler et al. 1974; Streisinger and Owen 1985; Bebenek et al. 1992). The high frequency of base substitution-associated frameshifts seen here was not observed in either of two comprehensive analyses of hypermutability in homonucleotide runs in yeast (Tran et al. 1996, 1997). Therefore, it is somewhat astonishing to observe that, in our observations, about 40% of all revertants also had base substitutions, most of which were at the end of the run of identical bases (Table 7). Something like this had been observed by Bebenek et al. (1992) in vitro when they provided imbalanced frequencies of different deoxyribonucleosides in the substrate for replication of a segment of DNA containing a +1 mutation.

The reasons for the frameshift along with a base change could relate to mismatch repair. For example, we speculate that a misincorporated base leaving the replication fork may bind to a homolog of the *E. coli* MutS protein. This binding may then hold the primer on the template in a slipped position, thus allowing a base to be added or deleted with a higher probability than would have occurred without the misincorporation. Another possibility is that there is a steric hindrance to mismatch repair in some cases of very close mismatches, as suggested by Manivasakam *et al.* (1996). Yet another possibility (S. Rosenberg, personal communication) is that a depletion of mismatch repair components may occur locally, allowing multiple mismatches to persist.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The data presented here are consistent with the notion put forward by Korch and Snow (1973) that *HIS1* mutations are reverted within the locus itself. Nevertheless, we have not excluded all possible *trans*acting suppressors.
- 2. An ochre nonsense codon that was not suppressible by any external suppressor was reverted. All possible single base revertants encoding amino acids within the codon were identified.
- 3. Cells containing reversions of *his1-798* that encoded different amino acids for the same codon could exhibit different feedback inhibition phenotypes.
- 4. The −1 frameshift mutation in a run of A's reverted by the conventional purine addition or double base subtraction most of the time, but a surprising number of the reversions were associated with base substitutions at one or both ends of the run of A's.
- 5. Some of the reversions are late-arising mutations. It is not a certainty that these late-arising reversions occurred during stationary phase because most of them were slow-growing revertants.

TABLE 7
Frameshift revertants of the frameshift mutant <i>his1-434(AA</i> $\rightarrow$ <i>G) (his1-19)</i>

Codon and peptide <sup><i>a</i></sup> sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$			ces	Base changes	Nature of mutation (occurrences)	Growth rate <sup>b</sup> (%)	
GTT val	GAA glu	AAA lys	ATG met	ACC thr	Wild type	_	
GTT val	GAA glu	AA <u>G</u> lys	TGA Stop	CCA	-AA+G	$\begin{array}{l} his1-434 \ (delAA \rightarrow G) \\ (his1-19) \end{array}$	100
GTT val	GAA glu	AA <u>A</u> lys	GTG val	ACC thr	+A	revertants (9)	100
GTT val	G <u>G</u> A gly	AAA lys	GTG val	ACC thr	+G	revertant (1)	100
GTT val	<u>CA</u> A gln	ÀAA lys	GTG val	ACC thr	$\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}; +\mathrm{A}$	revertant (1)	100
GTT val	<u>AA</u> A lys	AAA lys	GTG val	ACC thr	$G \rightarrow A;+A$	revertants (2)	100
GTT val	<u>CA</u> A gln	AAA lys	<u>T</u> TG leu	ACC thr	$G \rightarrow C; G \rightarrow T; +A$	revertants (2)	100
G <u>C</u> T ala	GAA glu	AA <u>A</u> lys	G <u>A</u> G glu	ACC thr	$T \rightarrow C; T \rightarrow A; +A$	revertant (1)	100
GGA gly	AAA lys	GTG val	ACC thr		-TT	revertant (1)	100
GTT val	GAA glu	ATG met	ACC thr		-AG	revertant (1)	$\sim \! 10$
GTT val	GAA glu	AA <u>A</u> lys	ACC thr		$G \rightarrow A;-TG$	revertant (1)	$\sim$ 33

<sup>a</sup> The base number of the first base of the valine codon is 426.

<sup>b</sup> Percent of growth on – histidine medium compared with + histidine.

This research was supported by operating grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by a contract from Pro-Neuron, Inc.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bebenek, K., J. D. Roberts and T. A. Kunkel, 1992 The effects of dNTP pool imbalances on frameshift fidelity during DNA replication. J. Biol. Chem. 267: 3589–3596.
- Cairns, J., and P. L. Foster, 1991 Adaptive reversion of a frameshift mutation in *Escherichia coli*. Genetics **128**: 695–701.
- Cairns, J., J. Overbaugh and S. Miller, 1988 The origin of mutants. Nature **333**: 142–145.
- Fields, S., and O. Song, 1989 A novel genetic system to detect protein-protein interactions. Nature 340: 245–246.
- Fink, G. R., 1964 Gene-enzyme relations in histidine biosynthesis in yeast. Science 146: 525–527.
- Fogel, S., and D. D. Hurst, 1967 Meiotic gene conversion in yeast tetrads and the theory of recombination. Genetics 57: 455–481.
- Fogel, S., C. Lax and D. D. Hurst, 1978 Reversion at the his1 locus of yeast. Genetics 90: 489–500.
- Foster, P. L., 1998 Adaptive mutation: has the unicorn landed? Genetics 148: 1453-1459.
- Foster, P. L., and J. Cairns, 1992 Mechanisms of directed mutations. Genetics 131: 783-789.
- Foster, P. L., and J. M. Trimarchi, 1995 Adaptive reversion of an episomal frameshift mutation in *Escherichia coli* requires conjugal functions but not actual conjugation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 5487–5490.
- Fowler, R. G., G. E. Degnen and E. C. Cox, 1974 Mutational specificity of a conditional *Escherichia coli* mutator, mutD5. Mol. Gen. Genet. 133: 179–191.

Glickman, G., 1997 Mutation nomenclature recommendations. Mutat. Res. Forum. 2(2): supplementary sheet.

- Hall, B. G., 1990 Spontaneous point mutations that occur more often when advantageous than when neutral. Genetics **126**: 5–16.
- Hall, B. G., 1992 Selection-induced mutations occur in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 4300–4303.
- Harris, R. S., S. Longerich and S. M. Rosenberg, 1994 Recombination in adaptive mutation. Science **264**: 258–260.
- Korch, C. T., and R. Snow, 1973 Allelic complementation in the first gene for histidine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. I. Characteristics of mutants and genetic mapping of alleles. Genetics 74: 287–305.
- Lax, C., and S. Fogel, 1978 Novel interallelic complementation at the *his1* locus of yeast. Genetics **90**: 501–516.
- Lax, C., S. Fogel and C. Cramer, 1978 Regulatory mutants at the his1 locus of yeast. Genetics 92: 363–382.
- Lee, G. S.-F., E. Å. Savage, R. G. Ritzel and R. C. von Borstel, 1988 The base-alteration spectrum of spontaneous and ultraviolet radiation-induced forward mutations in the URA3 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 214: 396–404.
- Lee, G. S.-F., K. S. Blonsky, D. Lee Van On, E. A. Savage, A. R. Morgan *et al.*, 1992 Base alterations in yeast induced by alkylating agents with differing Swain-Scott substrate constants. J. Mol. Biol. **223:** 617–626.
- Manivasakam, P., 1993 A Study of Mismatch Repair During Recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.
- Manivasakam, P, S. M. Rosenberg and P. J. Hastings, 1996 Evidence that poorly repaired mismatches obstruct mismatch repair in yeast recombination. Genetics 142: 407–416.
- Ritzel, R. G., E. A. Savage, P. J. Hastings and R. C. von Borstel, 1989 DNA sequences of polymerase-chain-reaction-amplified DNA. Book of Abstracts, Meeting on Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology, Atlanta, 27 June–1 July 1989, p. 109.
- Rosenberg, S. H., C. Thul in and R. S. Harris, 1998 Transient and

heritable mutators in adaptive evolution in the lab and in nature. Genetics **148**: 1559–1566.

- Ryan, F. J., 1955 Spontaneous mutation in non-dividing bacteria. Genetics 40: 726–738.
- Ryan, F. J., 1959 Bacterial mutation in a stationary phase and the question of cell turnover. J. Gen. Microbiol. **21:** 530–549.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen and A. R. Coulson, 1977 DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74: 5463–5467.
- Savage, E. A., 1979 A comparative analysis of recombination at the *HIS1* locus among 5 related diploid strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta.
- Snow, R., 1980 Genetic evidence for interaction between nonhomologous proteins in yeast and a case of suppression at the HIS1 locus. Genetics 94: 327–339.
- Song, D., J. W. Dolan, Y. L. Yuan and S. Fields, 1991 Pheromonedependent phosphorylation of the yeast STE12 protein correlates with transcriptional activation. Genes Dev. 5: 741–750.
- Steele, D. F., and S. Jinks-Robertson, 1992 An examination of adaptive reversion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 132: 9–21.

- Streisinger, G., and J. Owen, 1985 Mechanisms of spontaneous and induced frameshift mutation in bacteriophage T4. Genetics **109:** 633–659.
- Streisinger, G., Y. Okada, J. Emrich, J. Newton, A. Tsugita *et al.*, 1966 Frameshift mutations and the genetic code. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **31**: 77–84.
- Tran, H. T., D. A. Gordenin and M. A. Resnick, 1996 The prevention of repeat-associated deletions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by mismatch repair depends on size and origin of deletions. Genetics **143:** 1579–1587.
- Tran, H. T., J. D. Keene, M. Kricker, M. A. Resnick and D. A. Gordenin, 1997 Hypermutability of homonucleotide runs in mismatch repair and DNA polymerase polymerase proofreading yeast mutants. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17: 2859–2865.
- von Borstel, R. C., 1978 Measuring spontaneous mutation rates in yeast. Methods Cell Biol. 20: 1–24.
- von Borstel, R. C., K. T. Cain and C. M. Steinberg, 1971 Inheritance of spontaneous mutability in yeast. Genetics **69**: 17–27.