Evolution of new disease specificity at a simple resistance locus in a crop–weed complex: Reconstitution of the *Lr21* gene in wheat
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Abstract

The wheat leaf-rust resistance gene *Lr21* was first identified in an Iranian accession of goatgrass, *Aegilops tauschii* Coss., the D genome donor of hexaploid bread wheat, and was introgressed into modern wheat cultivars by breeding. In order to elucidate the origin of the gene, we analyzed sequences of *Lr21* and *lr21* alleles from 24 wheat cultivars and 25 accessions of *Ae. tauschii* collected along the Caspian Sea in Iran and Azerbaijan. Three basic nonfunctional *lr21* haplotypes, H1, H2, and H3, were identified. *Lr21* was found to be a chimera of H1 and H2, which were found only in wheat. We attempted to reconstitute a functional *Lr21* allele by crossing cultivars Fielder (H1) and Wichita (H2). Rust inoculation of 5876 F2 progeny revealed a single resistant plant that proved to carry the H1H2 haplotype, a result attributed to intragenic recombination. These findings reflect how plants balance the penalty and necessity of a resistance gene and suggest that plants can reuse “dead” alleles to generate new disease resistance specificity and lead to a “death–recycle” model of plant resistance-gene evolution at simple loci. We suggest that selection pressure in crop–weed complexes contributes to this process.

Introduction

Plants possess large numbers of resistance genes (*R* gene) as a part of an elaborate plant defense system. In different plants, *R* gene structure may consist of a simple (single-copy) or complex loci where genes are in clusters as a result of gene duplication events. This duplication is considered as birth of an *R* gene. *R* genes are necessary for plants to respond to pathogen attacks and survive when the pathogens are around. Mutations, gene
conversion and recombination were found to be the means to create new specificities to various pathogens (for review, Leister, 2004). However, an \( R \) gene could bring a penalty when the pathogen is absent (Stahl, et al., 1999). In such a case, plants have better fitness when they get rid of the \( R \) gene function. In nature, presence of different pathogens maintains diversity of \( R \) gene specificities. So far, there is no report on the fates of nonfunctional \( R \) genes.

In native agricultural ecosystems wild plants often grow as weeds intermixed with or adjacent to their crop relatives. Extensive gene flow occurs between wild and domesticated forms, spawning numerous crop landraces adapted to diverse environments and occasionally new species. Common (hexaploid or bread) wheat (\( Triticum aestivum \) L., \( 2n=6x=42 \), genome formula \( AABBDD \)) arose from such a process by hybridization of domesticated tetraploid wheat (\( T. turgidum \) L. \( 2n=4x=28 \), \( AABB \)) with goatgrass (\( Aegilops tauschii \) Coss., \( 2n=2x=14 \), DD) growing as a weed in farmers’ fields along the Caspian Sea in Iran ca. 8,000 years ago (Kihara, 1944; McFadden and Sears, 1946; Nesbitt and Samuel, 1998).

Because of the pivotal importance of \( Ae. tauschii \) in wheat evolution and crop improvement, Kihara and colleagues (Kihara et al., 1965) made extensive collections from Iran, Afghanistan and adjacent regions. They suggested that Caspian Iran was the center of genetic diversity of \( Ae. tauschii \), a proposition later confirmed by molecular-marker analysis (Lubbers et al., 1991) as well as of resistance to leaf rust. Nine named and 12 new leaf rust resistance genes have been documented in \( Ae. tauschii \) and many more remain to be identified (Gill et al., 2008). Leaf rust, a scourge of wheat since before Roman times, is caused by the fungus \( Puccinia triticina \) (Eriks). It attacks mainly the leaf
blade, producing small elliptical orange-red pustules on the upper surface and causing premature defoliation resulting in as much as 40% yield loss (McIntosh et al., 1995).

One *Ae. tauschii* accession, TA1599, collected in Caspian Iran, carries a gene named *Lr21* that confers resistance to all known *P. triticina* races. *Lr21*, transferred to wheat in the 1970s (McIntosh et al., 1995; Rowland and Kerber, 1974) was recently cloned (Huang et al., 2003) and shown to be a simple (single-copy) locus encoding a NBS-LRR protein of 1080 amino acids. Here we report how a simple locus such as *Lr21* evolved novel resistance specificities in a unique “crop–weed” system and how fragments of nonfunctional alleles could be reused in this process.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant materials:** Twenty-five accessions of *Ae. tauschii* were used for this study (Table 1). Of these, 12 are the *Lr21* carriers identified from the entire collection of 528 accessions of *Ae. tauschii* collected over a large geographic area representing its genetic diversity and maintained by the Wheat Genetic and Genomic Resources Center (WGGRC) at Manhattan, KS, USA. Additional *Lr* genes are present in two accessions: *Lr39* in TA2450 and *Lr42* in TA2467. The remaining accessions carry no known *Lr* genes and are susceptible to leaf rust in the field. Among the 13 *lr21* accessions, five were sampled at the same collection sites as the *Lr21* accessions, three were collected along the Caspian Sea of Iran within 51 km of the *Lr21* accessions, and the remaining five were from places where no *Lr21* accessions were found.

Of 24 wheat cultivars (Table 1 and S4) with the *lr21* allele that were tested for polymorphism using the KSUD14-STS marker, a PCR-based molecular marker that
distinguishes between \textit{Lr21} and \textit{lr21} (Huang \textit{et al.}, 2003), ‘Fielder’, a spring wheat, and ‘Wichita’, a winter wheat, were chosen for this study. WGRC7 is a wheat germplasm developed by WGGRC (http://www.k-state.edu/wgrc/) by direct crossing Wichita with \textit{Ae. tauschii} accession TA1649 and then backcrossing with Wichita twice (Raupp \textit{et al.}, 1983).

One dicot species, \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} and five cereal species, barley (\textit{Hordeum vulgare}), oat (\textit{Avena sativa}), rye (\textit{Secale cereale}), maize (\textit{Zea mays}), and rice (\textit{Oryza sativa}) were chosen based on the evolutionary timeline to assess the approximate age of the \textit{Lr21} locus.

\textbf{DNA manipulation and sequence analysis:} DNA isolation, digestion, blotting and Southern hybridization followed the online protocols at http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/NSF/project/mapping-data.html. Several pairs of primers were designed based on the coding regions and flanking sequences of \textit{Lr21} from \textit{Ae. tauschii} accession TA1649. Primers Sta (TTGTGATGGAGAAACGAGTGGCC) and Tor (CGGACGAGTAGTTCTTTCAGGA) were designed to amplify the entire gene and 397 bp flanking regions (Fig. 1). Each full-length allele was amplified by long-range PCR using Herculase enhanced DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) from genomic DNA of each accession. The PCR products were then cloned directly using pGEM-T easy system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Vector primers sp6 and T7 were first used to sequence the ends of each clone. Internal primers were designed later based on the sequences obtained from previous primers. At least three clones from each accession were sequenced from both directions. All the sequences were assembled using MacVector 6.5.3 (Oxford Molecular Ltd., Madison, WI, USA). Primers Sta3
(TGGCTAATGCAGTGGGCACGG) and D14-R (GGACATTAGGCGATGCTTTGAATTC) were used to amplify the NBS region of the alleles (Fig. 1). The marker KSUD14-STS was designed based on a 105-bp or an 88-bp insertion/deletion (Indel) in the first intron of the \(Lr21\) (Fig. 1). A 1.36-kb fragment from this region is a signature of the \(Lr21\), while a 1465-bp or a 1448-bp fragment with a 105-bp or an 88-bp insertion is a tag of \(lr21\). Other sizes of fragments amplified with KSUD14-STS represent \(Lr21\) paralogs (Figs. 2A, 2B).

**Gene expression study:** Expression of the \(Lr21\) and \(lr21\) alleles was characterized by modified quantitative RT-PCR (Kashkush *et al*., 2003). The mRNA was isolated from leaf tissues with or without inoculation of the pathogen isolate PRTUS6 using MicroPoly(A) Pure™ (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). 1\(^{st}\) strand cDNAs were synthesized using oligo-dT primer and 2\(^{nd}\) strand cDNAs were amplified with gene-specific primers. \(Lr21\) or \(lr21\) were amplified with D14-F (CGAGATTGGTCCTATGAGGTGGT) and D14-R (Fig. 1). Actin gene expression was used for normalization for the expression study. Actin-F (GGTATCGTGAGCAACTGGGATG) and Actin-R (GTGAAGGAGTAACCTCTCTCCTGGTG) were used to amplify a 383-bp fragment. PCR was performed under the conditions: 95°C for 4 minutes, and 12 cycles of each with 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 1.5 minutes. Amplicons from D14 or actin primers were electrophoresed separately on 1% agarose gels, then transferred to Hybond-N\(^+\) membranes (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and probed with KSUD14 or the actin gene.

**Results**
The *Lr21* NBS-LRR family in cereal species: Hybridization of the *Lr21* NBS region to wheat, rye, barley and oat revealed multiple bands (Fig. 3), indicating that *lr21* homologs are present elsewhere in the genome and that the *Lr21* NBS-LRR family is shared by this group of grasses with a basic chromosome number of 7. No signal was detected in maize, rice and *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 3), indicating that *Lr21* homologs are absent in these species. Primers designed based on the *Lr21* NBS region were able to amplify fragments from rye, barley and oat by PCR. Sequencing of the amplicons showed 95–98% similarity to the *Lr21* NBS sequence (S1). BLASTn searches against the finished rice genome sequence revealed eight rice homologs with an E-value above 20 and identity from 57% to 70%, consistent with the failure of hybridization. None of the homologs were detected on rice chromosome 5, which is homoeologous to the wheat group 1 chromosomes including 1D, where the *Lr21* locus resides. Southern hybridization with probe KSUD14, a part of the *Lr21* gene, revealed two *Lr21* paralogs located on the short arm of chromosome 1D in the *Lr21* donor accession of *Ae. tauschii* (Huang et al., 2003). Two cosmid clones carrying the paralogs were identified. Full-length sequencing of the paralogs showed them to be NBS-LRR-like sequences with 80% identity to *Lr21* in the NBS region (S2) and only about 50% identity in the rest of the gene.

Only one *Lr21* allele was identified. Of 528 accessions representing the geographic diversity of *Ae. tauschii* that were screened against a mixture of leaf rust pathogens, 158 were found to be resistant at seedling stage. The resistant accessions were tested with the *Lr21*-specific marker KSUD14-STS and 12 were found to carry the *Lr21* allele. All 12 had been collected along the Caspian Sea in Iran and Azerbaijan within a range of 675 km (Fig. 4 and Table 1). They shared an identical 1.36-kb fragment at the *Lr21* locus but
were polymorphic at paralogous loci, with four patterns revealed by marker KSUD14-STS (Fig. 2A). Amplification, cloning, and alignment of the \textit{Lr21} sequences showed that all 12 accessions carry an identical allele at the \textit{Lr21} locus.

\textbf{The \textit{lr21} alleles are pseudogenes.} To elucidate the mechanisms underlying the origin of \textit{Lr21} function, we characterized nonfunctional \textit{lr21} alleles from 13 \textit{Ae. tauschii} accessions (Fig. 4 and Table 1) and two \textit{Ae. tauschii}-derived \textit{lr21} alleles present in the D genome of two hexaploid wheat cultivars (Table 1). Based on KSUD14-STS genotyping in \textit{Ae. tauschii}, six different polymorphic patterns were observed, but none contained the 1.36-kb fragment (Fig. 2B). Based on sequencing, 11 different \textit{lr21} alleles were identified from the 13 \textit{Ae. tauschii} accessions; TA2467, TA2473 and TA2481 carried the same allele (Fig. 5, and S3). The wheat D genome alleles \textit{lr21-f} (Fielder) and \textit{lr21-w} (Wichita) were not detected in the sampled \textit{Ae. tauschii} accessions. The sequences of the 11 \textit{lr21} \textit{Ae. tauschii} and two wheat D genome \textit{lr21} alleles revealed a spectrum of the accumulated variation at this locus (Fig. 5). There were 36 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), none introducing a stop codon and only 11 representing nonsynonymous substitutions.

There were also 15 insertions/deletions (indels), three of which caused frameshifts introducing premature stop codons. With reference to the \textit{Lr21} sequence, indel 3 (a 2-bp deletion at position +761) was present in all \textit{lr21} alleles except \textit{lr21-f} and \textit{lr21-TA1699}. This deletion introduced an early stop codon resulting in a putative 151-aa peptide.

Similarly, indel 10, a 1-bp deletion at position +1773, was identified only in the \textit{lr21-f} allele, which encodes a putative 380-aa peptide. Indel 12 was a 4-bp deletion at +3195 identified in 12 \textit{lr21} alleles including the \textit{lr21-TA1699} allele. Each \textit{lr21} allele carried one or two of these three indels and was thus a nonfunctional pseudogene.
The two distinct \textit{lr2I} alleles found in wheat D genome were identified and cloned from chromosome 1D each of Wichita (\textit{lr2I-w}) and Fielder (\textit{lr2I-f}), respectively (Fig. 1). The \textit{lr2I-w} and \textit{lr2I-f} alleles were distributed among the other 22 sampled wheat cultivars (S4) without bias as to winter or spring habit. Both encoded truncated proteins, resulting from a 2-bp indel at position +761 in \textit{lr2I-w} and a 1-bp indel at +1773 in \textit{lr2I-f} (Fig. 5), and thus were pseudogenes.

**The \textit{Lr2I} allele is a recombinated allele of recent origin.** Sequences from the NBS and part of 3' regions of the gene from rye, barley and oat revealed that the 2-bp insertion at indel 3 (position +761) (Fig. 6B) and nucleotides G at position +1864, T at position +4161 and C at position +4170 are shared among the three outgroup species. The presence in the \textit{lr2I-f} allele of this 2-bp insertion at indel 3, and the ancestral SNPs (Fig. 6B), support its ancestral character. Sequence comparisons suggested that the 13 different \textit{lr2I} alleles were derived from three basic haplotypes and were subsequently modified by point mutation and insertion/deletion events (Fig. 5 and S3). We designated allele \textit{lr2I-f} as haplotype H1, \textit{lr2I-w} as H2, and \textit{lr2I-TA2467} as H3. The \textit{Lr2I} allele appears to be a chimera derived from intragenic recombination between H1 and H2 (Fig. 5 and S3), followed by the deletion of a 105-bp segment within an intron, and was designated H1H2-105. The putative crossover site lies between positions +762 and +1772. The recombined allele could encode a full 1080-aa protein and would be free of both the 2-bp (in H2) and 1-bp (in H1) deletions. Another chimeric haplotype H2H1 appeared in the \textit{lr2I-TA2477} allele, a putative product of reciprocal recombination carrying the 2-bp deletion (Fig. 5 and S3), and the \textit{Lr2I} protein product of this allele is truncated. The remaining \textit{lr2I} alleles are suggested to have been derived from the H3 haplotype as
shown in the supplementary data (S3). All of the \textit{lr21} alleles are present as truncated pseudogenes but are transcribed as revealed by RT-PCR (S5), suggesting that their promoters are still functioning.

\textbf{A functional allele can be created from two dead alleles.} To test the hypothesis that \textit{Lr21} could originate from two dead (nonfunctional) alleles, we crossed wheat cultivars Wichita (H2) and Fielder (H1) (Fig. 6A) and screened 5876 F\textsubscript{2} progeny in the greenhouse using leaf rust isolate PRTUS6. One plant, a putative recombinant designated as F/W-R, was identified based on the lower infection type (IT) than both parents. The critical region between +762 and +1772 was PCR-amplified from the F/W-R plant, cloned, and sequenced. Six of nine clones had sequences identical to H2. The other three had sequences identical to H1 from the 5’-end to position +843 and thereafter identical to H2 (Fig. 6B). These data indicated that F/W-R was heterozygous at the \textit{Lr21} locus for H2 and H1H2 haplotypes. The recombinant H1H2 was identical to the \textit{Lr21} of the \textit{Ae. tauschii} gene (\textit{Lr21-a} in Fig. 6B) except for a 105-bp insertion derived from H2 and four substitutions: G to A at positions +1689 and +1862, T to G at position +1864, and A to G at position +2175 (Figs. 5 and 6B). The presence of this insertion and the four SNPs showed that the reconstituted \textit{Lr21-b} functional allele was created in this cross. The 105-bp sequence lies in the first intron of the gene, and the deletion or insertion of this fragment does not change the length of the peptide encoded by the gene. Two of four substitutions are synonymous, while the one at position +1862 changes a methionine to a valine (both neutral and nonpolar) and the second at +2175 changes the acidic polar aspartic acid to the neutral nonpolar glycine. However, the reconstituted allele \textit{Lr21-b} still confers resistance to the same pathogen isolate, indicating that the amino-acid
changes at these positions do not change the function of the protein. The F/W-R plant was selfed. Fifty progenies were tested for the reaction to leaf rust isolate PRTUS6. Progeny testing revealed that resistance to leaf rust isolate was conferred by a single dominant gene (Fig.7). Ten resistance and 10 susceptible plants were selected for genotyping using the critical region of the *Lr21*-b. The result suggested that the resistance was conferred by the *Lr21*-b allele.

**Discussion**

We selected *Lr21* gene for map-based cloning more than ten years ago because a dozen *Ae. tauschii* accessions harbored a leaf rust resistance gene mapped to the *Lr21* locus. Our hypothesis was that *Lr21* was a complex locus and was spawning new specificities as a result of unequal crossing over similar to the *Rp1* locus of maize (Hulbert et al.). It was surprising when molecular cloning revealed it to be a simple, single copy locus (Huang et al. 2003). After investigating the sequence variation at the *Lr21* locus in the 12 *Lr21*-carrier tauschii accessions and the 15 *lr21* alleles in a sample of tauschii and bread wheat accessions, our results showed unexpected monomorphism at the *Lr21* locus among the 12 *Lr21*-carriers. The sequence data revealed *Lr21* to be a chimeric allele providing possible clues to its recent origin through intragenic recombination. This hypothesis was experimentally verified by the recovery of a functional allele in the progenies of a cross between two susceptible parents. These results have important implications about the age of the *Lr21* locus, its origin, evolution and fixation in the context of crop-weed coevolutionary process as distinct from resistance evolution in wild populations and these aspects of this study are discussed below.
An ancient locus with a young allele of *Lr21* NBS-LRR: The evolutionary timeline indicates that wheat diverged from rice and maize ~65 MYA, from barley ~14 MYA and from rye ~7 MYA (Huang *et al*., 2002). Compared to 80% identity with its paralogs (S2), *Lr21* shared more than 95% identity with barley, oat and rye homologs in the NBS region (S1). Since in general, orthologous genes in different species are more similar in sequence to one another than paralogous copies within a species (Hulbert *et al*., 2001; Michelmore *et al*., 1998), it is plausible that *Lr21* is an ancient locus shared by wheat, barley and oat. However, its restricted geographic distribution and DNA-level monomorphism strongly suggest that the *Lr21* allele of *Ae. tauschii* originated more recently in a single event. In this scenario, it spread most likely by rare cross-pollination among *Ae. tauschii* populations, farming activity and commerce of wheat grains contaminated with goatgrass seeds.

Chimeric origin of *Lr21*: *Ae. tauschii* is a self-pollinated species with outcrossing rate lower than 5%. The presence in the wheat D genome of the H1 and H2 haplotypes indicates that these alleles were present in *Ae. tauschii* (donor of wheat D genome) growing alongside domesticated tetraploid wheat. It thus appears that *Ae. tauschii* parents carrying H1 and H2 or similar haplotypes were involved in hybridization events leading to origin of bread wheat 8,000 years ago and that bread wheat originated in at least two independent hybridization events (Talbert *et al*., 1998). As H1 and H2 haplotypes were only detected in bread wheat, our failure to detect *Ae. tauschii* accessions carrying haplotypes H1 and H2 most probably was due to limited sampling.
Alternatively, these haplotypes may be extinct in *Ae. tauschii* and preserved only in wheat.

The molecular mechanism underlying the origin of *Lr21* function may be associated with its location at the most distal point of chromosome 1D short arm, a recombination hot spot (Qi *et al.*, 2004; Spielmeyer *et al.*, 2000). We previously reported one intragenic recombination event between positions -61 and +1354 involving alleles *Lr21* and *lr21-w* in a sample of 332 F2 plants (Huang *et al.*, 2003). It involved a conversion tract of a minimum of 191 bp and maximum of 1415 bp of DNA from the *lr21-w* to *Lr21*, rendering the latter ineffective. We have now experimentally reconstituted *Lr21* through another intragenic recombination event. In addition, one H2H1 haplotype, an obvious product of intragenic recombination, was detected in a small sample of 13 *lr21* alleles. These findings suggest that recombination events have occurred multiple times at the *Lr21* locus and that the evolutionary history of *Lr21* has been shaped by its location in a high-recombination region.

**“Birth–recycle” at the *Lr21* locus:** The presence of only one functional allele among an assortment of nonfunctional alleles at the *Lr21* locus suggests a cost of carrying the resistance allele in the absence of virulent pathogen strains. Two evolutionary classes of NBS-LRR genes have been characterized. One supports the so-called “arms-race” model represented by the *L* locus of flax (Ellis *et al.*, 1999) and the *RPP13* locus of *Arabidopsis* (Rose *et al.*, 2004), containing large numbers of different functional alleles and high degree of variation in the regions responsible for specificity. The other class, consistent with a “trench warfare” model, is represented by the *RPM1* (Stahl *et al.*, 1999) and *RPS2* (Mauricio *et al.*, 2003) loci of *Arabidopsis* where variation is low with no evidence of
diversifying selection between functional and non-functional forms. The functional
*RPM1* allele has been shown to impose a penalty in the absence of the pathogen.
Complete deletion is one way to remove the deleterious effect of an allele such as *RPM1*.
An alternative way is truncation, as seen with the *lr21* alleles.

Our discovery suggests a “death–recycle” model of plant resistance-gene
 evolution at simple loci. A “birth-and-death” process similar to that of the vertebrate
major histocompatibility complex (MHC), T-cell receptor (TCR) and immunoglobulin
genes has been proposed to explain the evolution of resistance genes at complex loci
(Michelmore *et al*., 1998). At a simple locus, there is no “birth” associated with gene
duplication. A functional allele may become ineffective because of mutation or defeat by
a new race of the pathogen, and then may be reused in the creation of a new functional
allele at that locus. Our results have confirmed that plants can reuse nonfunctional alleles
to create new resistance specificity. The recycling of *lr21* hints at the potential usefulness
of truncated alleles. New resistances similar to *Lr21* that occurred in nature may also
arise in plant breeding programs more often than recognized because of extensive
selection pressure for rare new disease specificities in segregating populations subjected
to disease epidemics.

**The wheat–goatgrass complex and the fixation of *Lr21***: *Ae. tauschii* accessions
carrying the functional *Lr21* allele are predominant in regions where agriculture was
practiced, to the point where at one location, Ramsar, Iran, all collected accessions
carried this allele (Fig. 4 and Table 1). This predominance would be expected if some
evolutinary process in the crop–weed agroecosystem led to fixation of a new disease-
resistance specificity created by a rare recombination event in *lr21*. Agricultural practice
favors crop monoculture or a single variety planted over large areas for long periods of time. This often leads to much higher rust disease pressure in wheat fields than in wild Ae. tauschii populations, which harbor mixtures of different rust resistance genes or even several leaf-rust resistance genes in a single accession (Gill et al., 2008). We propose that leaf rust epidemics in a crop monoculture imposed selection pressure on goatgrass populations in or near wheat fields. A plant carrying the \( Lr21 \) allele would have fitness advantage in an environment with high leaf rust inoculum. In this model, the crop–weed complex coevolutinary process was critical to the selection and retention of the \( Lr21 \) gene in \( Ae. \) tauschii populations.

It appears that native agricultural ecosystems, located in Vavilovian world centers of crop plant origin, are virtual outdoor laboratories for the creation of genetic variation. Other “new” genes spawned by such ecosystems may well be of the same worldwide economic significance as \( Lr21 \). The example presented here argues for the careful preservation of the native agricultural ecosystems in the face of modern agricultural practices as the success of modern plant breeding hinges on the extensive use of genetic variation present in land races and wild relatives of crop plants
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**Figure legends:**

Figure 1. Gene structure and primer location of the *Lr21* and *lr21* alleles. The enlarged regions show the major differences between the *lr21*-Wichita and the *lr21*-Fielder.

A-terminus (amino terminus), NBS (nucleotide-binding site), LRR (leucine-rich repeats), and C-terminus (carboxy terminus), 5’UTR (5’ untranslated region).

Figure 2. Polymorphism survey of *Ae. tauschii* based on KSUD14-STS marker.

2A: Four patterns (1~4) were revealed among 12 *Lr21* accessions. The 1.36-kb fragment (indicated by an arrow) is a tag of *Lr21* and other size fragments are
*Lr21* paralogs. 2B: Six patterns (5~10) were identified among the 13 *lr21* accessions, of which none has the 1.36-kb fragment.

Figure 3. Southern hybridization of genomic DNAs digested with restriction enzyme *Xba*I and probed with KSUD14 (NBS region of *Lr21*). The hybridization stringency amounted to 80% homology.

Figure 4. The sampling sites and geographic distribution of the 25 *Ae. tauschii* accessions used in this study. Twelve accessions (in **bold italics**) are the *Lr21* carriers.

Figure 5. Sequence variation among tested alleles of *Lr21* and *lr21*. Position numbers are based on the *Lr21* sequence and are shown as offsets from the transcription start site. *lr21-f* is the Fielder allele and *lr21-w* is the Wichita allele. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; indel: insertion/deletion; asterisk: identity to *Lr21*; hyphen: deletion. Positions shaded in grey are those where the nucleotide change resulted in an amino-acid change. The Xs represent deletions in *Lr21*. Four functional domains: carboxy terminus, NBS (nucleotide-binding site), LRR (leucine-rich repeats), and amino terminus are indicated above the sequence.

Figure 6. (A) A crossing scheme for reconstituting a functional *Lr21* allele from two nonfunctional alleles. (B) Shows the indel and SNPs shared among the three outgroup species rye, barley and oat; and the region in which intragenic recombination between H1 and H2 resulted in a chimerical allele *Lr21-b*. *Lr21-a* is the allele identified from *Ae. tauschii*. Position numbers are based on the *Lr21* sequence. In both panels black shading corresponds to haplotype H1 representing allele *lr21-f* from cultivar Fielder, and gray to H2 representing *lr21-w* from Wichita. Hatching indicates SNPs distinguishing *Lr21-b* from *Lr21-a*. 
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Figure 7. Infection types of WGRC7 (Lr21-a/Lr21-a), progenies of the F/W recombinant (Lr21-b/lr21-w), Fielder (lr21-f/lr21-f) and Wichita (lr21-w/lr21-w) nine days after inoculation with the leaf rust isolate PRTUS6.

Supporting information S1. Sequence alignment in the NBS region of Lr21 among barley, oat, rye and Ae. tauschii. The nucleotides highlighted in yellow are shared between Lr21, lr21-f and three outgroup.

Supporting information S2. Sequence alignment in the NBS region of Lr21 and the two paralogs on the chromosome 1D of Ae. tauschii.

Supporting information S3. A reconstruction of the haplotype structure at the Lr21 locus based on the nucleotide variation corresponding to figure 4. The figure shows that three haplotypes H1, H2 and H3 (triangles) account for all the derived alleles but does not suggest their evolutionary order. A black bar indicates a SNP and a white bar an indel. Implied haplotypes X1, X2 and X3 were not observed in this study. Both Lr21 and lr21-TA2477 are recombinants of H1 and H2.

Supporting information S4. Wheat cultivars tested for the lr21 locus.

Supporting information S5. Expression patterns of Lr21 and lr21 before and 24 hours after pathogen inoculation, as revealed by modified quantitative RT-PCR.

Table legends:

Table 1: Triticum and Aegilops accessions used for sequencing of Lr21 and lr21 alleles. Polymorphism patterns are based on KSUD14-STS marker analysis (Figs. 2A, 2B).
Promoter+5'UTR → Sta → Sta3 → D14F → A-terminus → NBS → D14R → LRR → C-terminus → Tor → Sta3 → D14F

Intron 1: 88-bp, 105-bp

Ir21-Wichita

Ir21-Fielder
| Position | SNP 1 | SNP 2 | SNP 3 | SNP 4 | SNP 5 | SNP 6 | SNP 7 | SNP 8 | SNP 9 | SNP 10 | SNP 11 | SNP 12 | SNP 13 | SNP 14 | SNP 15 | SNP 16 | SNP 17 | SNP 18 | SNP 19 | SNP 20 | SNP 21 | SNP 22 | SNP 23 | SNP 24 | SNP 25 | SNP 26 | SNP 27 | SNP 28 | SNP 29 | SNP 30 | SNP 31 | SNP 32 | SNP 33 | SNP 34 | SNP 35 | SNP 36 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| L21-1    |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-w    |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-10110|       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2467|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2469|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2450|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2476|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2478|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2481|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2489|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA1698|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA1699|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2495|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| L21-TA2496|      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

Amino terminus: TTA

NBS: TTA

LRR: TTA

Carboxy terminus: TTA
A

Ir21-f (H1)  ×  Ir21-w (H2)

Ir21-b (H1H2)  ×  ir21 (H2H1)

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Haplotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Out group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k21-f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k21-w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lr21-b</td>
<td>CTT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>H1H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lr21-a</td>
<td>CTT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>105bp</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>H1H2,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infection types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WGRC7</th>
<th>F/W-R progenies</th>
<th>Wichita</th>
<th>Fielder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lr21-a</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *WGRC7 Lr21-a*: Green leaves infected with scattered small lesions.
- *F/W-R progenies*: Green leaves showing a mix of small lesions and more intense infection.
- *Fielder Lr21-f*: Green leaves infected with dense, large lesions.